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## Tree

- Consider a loop-free undirected graph $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$. It is a tree if G is connected and contains no cycles
- We often refer to a tree as T instead of (more general) G
- Spanning tree: a spanning subgraph that is also a tree
- Spanning forest: a unconnected spanning subgraph


Figure 12.1

## Properties of Trees

- Unique path: there exists a unique path between any two distinct vertices in $\mathrm{T}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$
- Proof Sketch: T is connected, so there must be at least one path. Moreover, if there are two paths, connecting them gives us a cycle.
- If $G=(V, E)$ is an undirected graph, $G$ is connected iff $G$ has a spanning tree
- Proof Sketch: $(\leftarrow)$ by $G$ is connected. $(\rightarrow)$ Build a spanning tree by iteratively removing an edge on any cycle.


## Relation between $|\mathbf{V}|$ and $|\mathrm{E}|$

- Counts $|\mathrm{V}|$ and $|\mathrm{E}|$ in these trees


Figure 12.2

- In any tree $\mathrm{T}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$, we have $|\mathrm{V}|=|\mathrm{E}|+1$
- Proof Sketch: by mathematical induction


## Pendant Vertices

- Counts no. pendant vertices in these trees


Figure 12.2

- In any tree $\mathrm{T}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$, where $|\mathrm{V}|>=2$, T has at least two pendant vertices
- Proof Sketch: by the previous theorem and $2|E|=\sum_{v \in V} \operatorname{deg}(v)$


## Examples

- Ex 12.1: Are the two trees isomorphic? Why?


Figure 12.5

## Examples

- Ex 12.2: If a saturated hydrocarbon (acyclic) has $n$ carbon atoms, show that it has $2 \mathrm{n}+2$ hydrogen atoms.
- Proof:
- Let k denote the number of hydrogen atoms. The total degree of all atoms is $4 \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}$, which equals to $2|\mathrm{E}|$
- We also know $|\mathrm{E}|=|\mathrm{V}|-1$, so the total degree $=2|\mathrm{~V}|-1$
- This leads to $\mathrm{k}=2 \mathrm{n}+2$


## When Can We Call a Graph Tree?

- The following statements are equivalent for a look-free undirected graph $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$
- G is a tree
- $G$ is connected, but remove any edge from $G$ turns $G$ into two trees
- G contains no cycles, and $|\mathrm{V}|=|\mathrm{E}|+1$
- G is connected, and $|\mathrm{V}|=|\mathrm{E}|+1$
- G contains no cycle and if $\{a, b\}$ is not an edge of $G$, adding $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}$ to G results in exactly one cycle


## A Sample Proof

- Prove if
- G is a tree,
- then $G$ is connected, but remove any edge from $G$ turns $G$ into two trees
- Proof:
- Let $G^{\prime}=G-\{a, b\}$. Assume $G^{\prime}$ is still connected, which means there is a path between a and b . But this contradict to the fact that tree is acyclic. Hence, $G^{\prime}$ is not connected!
- Then consider the two components in $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$, they must contain no cycles (otherwise $G$ is not a tree). Then they are both trees. This yield our proof.
- See text and exercises for more proofs.
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## Directed and Rooted Trees

- If G is a directed graph, G is a directed tree if its associated undirected graph is a tree
- A directed tree is a rooted tree, if there is a unique vertex $r$ with in-degree $0, \operatorname{id}(r)=0$, while all other vertex $v$ has in-degree $1, \operatorname{id}(\mathrm{v})=1$. We call this v as the root.



## Conventions and Terminology

- Arrows are going downwards
- Vertices with zero out degree are call leaves (terminal vertices)
- All other leaves are called branch nodes (or internal vertices)
- Level is defined as the distance to the root
- Parent-child relation, Ancestors-descendants, Siblings
- Subtree, induced by a vertex v, includes v and all its descendants


## Vertex Ordering

- Ex 12.3: Consider a book with 3-level structure. What is the nature order of its contents?



## Ordered Rooted Tree

- Ex 12.4: If all edges leaving an internal vertex are ordered from left to tight, then T is called an ordered rooted tree.
- Ordering algorithm
- Assign 0 to the root
- Assign positive integer to vertices at level 1 , from left to right
- For an internal vertex v, suffix a positive integer to v's label, from left to right



## Ordered Rooted Tree (cont.)

- This leads to the order:
- $0,1,1.1$
- 1.2, 1.2.1, 1.2.2
- 1.2.3, 1.2.3.1, 1.2.3.2
- 1.3, 1.4, 2
- 2.1, 2.2, 2.2.1
- 3, 3.1, 3.2
- Lexicographic order



## Binary Rooted Tree

- Ex 12.5: Binary rooted tree: od(v)=0,1,2. Complete binary tree: $\operatorname{od}(\mathrm{v})=0,2$
- They can represent binary operations


Figure 12.13

## Binary Rooted Tree (cont.)

- A tree for $((7-a) / 5)^{*}\left((a+b)^{\wedge} 3\right)$


Figure 12.14

## Binary Rooted Tree (cont.)

- How to represent: (i) (a-(3/b))+5 and (ii) a-(3/(b+5))
- Both of them can be stored as the same sequence
- Parenthesis are mandatory!


Figure 12.15

## Polish Notation

- Consider $\mathrm{t}+(\mathrm{uv}) /\left(\mathrm{w}+\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}^{\wedge} \mathrm{z}\right)$, it can be expressed by


Figure 12.16

- The computer needs to know the calculation order $\leftarrow$ But the computer needs to know the parenthesis
- Prefix notation: $+\mathrm{t} / * \mathrm{uv}+\mathrm{w}-\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} \mathrm{yz}$
- Independent to parenthesis! Just calculate from right to left $\leftarrow$ shows the importance of ordering


## Polish Notation (cont.)

- Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -+4 / * 23+1-9^{\wedge} 23 \\
& -+4 / * 23+1-98 \\
& -+4 / * 23+11 \\
& -+4 / * 232 \\
& -+4 / 62 \\
& -+43 \\
& -7
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 12.17

## Post-/Pre-order Traversals

- Recursively defined
- Let $\mathrm{T}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ be a rooted tree with root r
- If $|\mathrm{V}|=1$, then r is both postorder and preorder traversal
- Otherwise, preorder traversal first visits r and then traverse subtrees $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{k}}$. Postorder traversal first visits subtrees , then $r$
- Conventionally, subtrees are visited from left to right


Figure 12.18

## Example

- Ex 12.6: What are the pre-/post-order traversals of this graph?


Figure 12.19

## In-order Traversal

- For binary rooted tree, we also have in-order traversal
- Let $\mathrm{T}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ be a binary rooted tree with root r
- If $|\mathrm{V}|=1$, then r is the inorder traversal
- Otherwise, let TL and TR be the left and right subtrees. The inorder traversal first traverses TL, then visits r , and then traverses TR.


## Different Ordering

- Ex 12.7:
- The following two ordered trees are different
- What are their inorder traversals?
- What are their preorder and postorder traversals?


Figure 12.20

## Another Inorder Example

- What is the in order traversal?


Figure 12.21

## Spanning Trees

- Generally two algorithms to generate a spanning trees in a graphs
- Depth-First Search (DFS): based on a stack
- Breadth-First Search (BFS): based on a (FIFO) queue



## DFS Algorithm

- Let $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{v}_{1}$ as the root of tree T
- If G has only one vertex, terminates and return T
- Select the smallest subscript $i$, so that $\left\{v, v_{i}\right\}$ is an edge of $G$ and $v_{i}$ hasn't been visited
- If an i exists: (i) add $\left\{v, v_{i}\right\}$ to $T$, (ii) visit subtree induced by $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}$, (iii) let $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}$, go back to the step 3
- If there is no $v_{i}$, then backtrack from $v$ to its parent $u$. Let $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{u}$, and go back to step3
- Once all vertices are visited, return T


## Example of DFS

- Ex 12.10: Plot the DFS trees of graph G
- Assuming the vertex order is: a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,i,j
- Assuming the order is: j,i,h,g,f,e,d,c,b,a

(a)

$$
G=(V, E)
$$

## BFS Algorithm

- Enqueue $\mathrm{v}_{1}$, and let T be the tree with $\mathrm{v}_{1}$, visit $\mathrm{v}_{1}$
- Let v=dequeue(). Sequentially check all vertices next to v that haven't been visited
- For each unvisited vertex $v_{i}$ : (i) enqueue $v_{i}$, (ii) add $\{v$, $\left.\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}$ to T , and (iii) visit $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}$
- If queue is not empty go to step 2
- Now queue is empty, return T


## Example of BFS

- Ex 12.11: Plot the BFS trees of graph G
- Assuming the vertex order is: a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,i,j
- Assuming the order is: j,i,h,g,f,e,d,c,b,a

(a)

$$
G=(V, E)
$$

## Adjacent Matrix to BFS/DFS Trees

- Ex 12.12 Determine the BFS and DFS tress from the adjacent matrix without plotting the graph

$$
A(G)=\begin{aligned}
& v_{1} \\
& v_{2} \\
& v_{3} \\
& v_{4} \\
& v_{5} \\
& v_{6} \\
& v_{7}
\end{aligned}\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
v_{1} & v_{2} & v_{3} & v_{4} & v_{5} & v_{6} & v_{7} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

## M-ary Tree

- Let $\mathrm{T}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ be a rooted tree, and m is a positive integer. T is called an m -ary tree if $\mathrm{od}(\mathrm{v})<=\mathrm{m}$ for all v
- When $\mathrm{m}=2$, it is called a binary tree
- If $\operatorname{od}(\mathrm{v})=0$ or m , for all v , then T is called a complete m ary tree.
- Each internal vertex has m children
- When $\mathrm{m}=2$, it is called a complete binary tree.


## Property of a Complete m-ary Tree

- Let $\mathrm{T}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ be a complete m -ary tree with $|\mathrm{V}|=n$. If T has $l$ leaves and $i$ internal vertices then
- $n=m i+1 \leftarrow$ each internal node leads to $m$ children, plus root
- $l=(m-1) i+1 \leftarrow$ based on equation 1 and $\mathrm{n}=1+\mathrm{i}$
- $i=(l-1) /(m-1)=(n-1) / m \leftarrow$ base don equations 1 and 2


## Number of Matches

- Ex 12.13: In a single-elimination tournament. If there are 27 players, how many matches must be played to determine the champion?
- 27 players, so 27 leaves $(l=27)$, also $m=2$. Therefore, we have $\mathrm{i}=(1-1) /(\mathrm{m}-1)=(27-1) /(2-1)=26$



## Height and Balanced Trees

- Let $\mathrm{T}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ be a rooted tree, and h be the largest level number by a leaf of $T$. We say $T$ has a height of $h$.
- A rooted tree T of height h is balanced if the level number of every leaf is either h or $\mathrm{h}-1$.


Figure 12.19

## Height of m-ary Tree

- Let $\mathrm{T}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ be a complete m -ary tree with height $h$ and $l$ leaves. We have $l \leqslant m^{h}$ and $h \geqslant\left\lceil\log _{m} l\right\rceil$
- Proved by induction
- Let T be a balanced complete m-ary tree with $l$ leaves. The height of T is $\left\lceil\log _{m} l\right\rceil$


## Decision Tree

- There are 8 coins and a pan balance. One of the coin is counterfeit and heavier than others. Find that coin.
- Binary decision tree $h \geqslant\left\lceil\log _{2} 8\right\rceil$
- Ternary decision tree $h \geqslant\left\lceil\log _{3} 8\right\rceil$


Figure 12.27
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## Bubble Sort

- Simplest sorting algorithm
- High complexity: O( $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ )

```
procedure BubbleSort( \(n\) : positive integer; \(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}\) : real numbers)
begin
    for \(i:=1\) to \(n-1\) do
        for \(j:=n\) downto \(i+1\) do
            if \(x_{j}<x_{j-1}\) then
            begin \{interchange\}
            temp \(:=x_{j-1}\)
            \(x_{j-1}:=x_{j}\)
            \(x_{j}:=\) temp
            end
end
```

Figure 10.2

## Bubble Sort (cont.)

- Example:

| $\mathrm{i}=1$ | $x_{1}$ | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x_{2}$ | 9 | 9 |  |  | 7 |
|  | $x_{3}$ | 2 | $2)$ |  | 9 | 9 |
|  | $x_{4}$ | 5 | 5) | 5 | 5 | 5 |
|  | $x_{5}$ | 8 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
|  | Four comparisons and two interchanges. |  |  |  |  |  |
| $i=2$ | $x_{1}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | $x_{2}$ | 7 | 7 | $7 j=3$ |  |  |
|  | $x_{3}$ | 9 | 9 | $510=3$ |  |  |
|  | $x_{4}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 5 \\ 8 \end{array}\right\} j=5$ | 5 | 9 | 9 |  |
|  |  |  | 8 | 8 | 8 |  |
|  | Three comparisons and two interchanges. |  |  |  |  |  |
| $i=3$ | $x_{1}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
|  | $x_{2}$ | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |  |
|  |  | 7 | $\left.{ }^{7}\right\} j=4$ | 7 |  |  |
|  |  | $98^{8} 8$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $x_{5}$ | $8{ }_{8} 9$ |  | 9 |  |  |
|  | Two comparisons and one interchange. |  |  |  |  |  |
| $i=4$ | $x_{1}$ | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $x_{2}$ | 5 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $x_{3}$ | 7 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 8 \\ 9 \end{array}\right\} j=5$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $x_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | One comparison but no interchanges. |  |  |  |  |  |

## Idea of Merge Sort

- Ex 12.16: Sort $6,2,7,3,4,9,5,1,8$ by dividing them into equal size sublists, and merge them backwards



## Each Merge Operation

- Before we quantify the complexity, first calculate the complexity of each merge
- Let $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ be the two sorted number, where $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ has $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ elements and $L_{2}$ has $n_{2}$. Merging $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ into another list consumes at most $\mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{2}-1$ comparisons $\leftarrow \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$
- L=Merge( $\mathrm{L}_{1}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}$ )
- 1: Let L be empty set
- 2: Compare the first elements of $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{2}$, remove the smaller one and put it at the end of L
- 3: If one of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ is empty, append the other one to $L$. Otherwise go back to 2


## Merge Sort

- 1: Divide the input array into two sublists $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{2}$, each has $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$ elements
- 2: Call MergeSort with $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$
- 3. $\operatorname{Merge}\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)$
- At most $\log _{\mathrm{n}}$ levels, so the total complexity is $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n} \log _{\mathrm{n}}\right)$
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## Codes

- Fixed-length versus variable-length codes
- Why do we need variable-length codes?
- (English) letters appears in different frequencies $\rightarrow$ Assigning shorter code to more frequent letter results in shorter coded words
- For example, consider a set $\mathrm{S}=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{t}\}$ and code $\mathrm{a}: 01$, $\mathrm{e}: 0, \mathrm{n}: 101, \mathrm{r}: 10, \mathrm{r}: 1$, what is the coded word of "ata"?
- Problem, this coded words also means "eta", "atet", and "an"
- Why?


## Unambiguous Codes

- Consider a different code a:01, e:0, n:101, r:10, r:1, what is the coded word of "ata"?
- No ambiguity


Figure 12.34

## Prefix Code

- A set P of binary sequences is called a prefix code if no sequence in P is the prefix of any other sequence in P
- How to determine whether P is a prefix code?
- T is a full binary tree of height h if all the leaves are at level h


Figure 12.35

## Efficient Code

- Lemma: If T is an optimal tree for $\mathrm{w}_{1}<=\mathrm{w}_{2}<=\ldots<=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}$, there exists an optimal tree $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$, in which $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{w}_{2}$ are siblings at the maximal level of T'
- Pushing w1 and w2 to the bottom couldn't be worse
- Theorem: Let T be an optimal tree with weight $\mathrm{w}_{1}+\mathrm{w}_{2}$, $\mathrm{w}_{3}, \ldots, \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}$, where $\mathrm{w}_{1}<=\mathrm{w}_{2}<=\ldots<=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}$. Dividing the leaf $\mathrm{w}_{1}+\mathrm{w}_{2}$ into two leavesw ${ }_{1}, \mathrm{w}_{2}$ results in a new optimal tree T'
- Proved by the fact that there are only finite number of complete binary trees


## Huffman Code

- A systematic way to create an efficient code
- Create n active vertices each with a weight
- Repeatedly find the two smallest active vertices with weights $w_{i}$ and $w_{j}$, make them inactive, create a new active internal vertex to be their parent, and assign weight $w_{i}+w_{j}$.
- Stop until there is only one active vertex
- Get the Huffman code by traversing from root to each leaf
- Ex 12.18: Construct a Huffman code for the symbols a,o,q,u,y,z with frequencies $20,28,4,17,12,7$. Find a Humffman code for them.
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## Articulation Point

- A vertex v in a loop-free undirected graph $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ is called an articulation point if $\kappa(G-v)>\kappa(G)$; i.e., G-v has more components than G
- A graph with no articulation points is called biconnected
- A maximal biconnected subgraph is called a biconnected component
- A subgraph that is not contained in a larger subgraph


## Example

- Articulation points: c,f, and four biconnected components


Figure 12.39

- How to systematically find the articulation points?


## First Lemma

- A vertex $z$ in $G=(V, E)$ is an articulation point iff for any two vertices $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ where $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$, and z are not mutually equal, every path between x and y must go through z


## Second Lemma

- Let $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ be a loop-free connected undirected graph, with a depth-first spanning tree $T=\left(V, E^{\prime}\right)$. If $\{a, b\}$ is in $E$ but is not in $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$, then a is either an ancestor or a descendant of $b$ in tree $T$
- Proof Sketch: this is true otherwise $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}$ would be picked by the DFS algorithm (and thus is in $\left.\mathrm{E}^{\prime}\right)_{\text {Root }}$
- Edges like $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}$ is called back-edge. So any edge in $G$ is either: (i) an edge in T or (ii) an back-edge in it



## Third Lemma

- Let $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ be a loop-free connected undirected graph, with a depth-first spanning tree $T=\left(V, E^{\prime}\right)$. If $r$ is the root of $T$, then $r$ is an articulation point of $G$ iff $r$ has at least two children in T .
- Proof Sketch: If $r$ has two children $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$, and $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right\}$ is not in $E$, then $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right\}$ will be picked by the DFS algorithm


## Fourth Lemma

- Let $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ be a loop-free connected undirected graph, with a depth-first spanning tree $\mathrm{T}=\left(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E}^{\prime}\right)$. If v is a non-root vertex in $T . v$ is an articulation point of $G$ iff there exists a child $c$ of $v$ with no back-edge from a vertex $z$ in the subtree rooted at c to a , which is an ancestor of v


## Some Notations

- We let dfi(x) be the depth-first index of $x$ in preorder
- If y is a descendant of x , then $\mathrm{dfi}(\mathrm{x})<\mathrm{dfi}(\mathrm{y})$
- We define $\operatorname{low}(x)=\min \{d f i(y) \mid y$ is adjacent to either $x$ or a descendant of x in G$\} \leftarrow$ how to do this efficiently?
- If $z$ is the parent of $x($ in $T)$, compare low $(x)$ and dfi(z)
$-\operatorname{low}(x)=d f i(z)$ : there is no vertex adjacent to an ancestor of $z(v i a$ back-edge), so z is an articulation point
- $\operatorname{low}(\mathrm{x})<\operatorname{dfi}(\mathrm{z})$ : there is a (some) descendant of z that is joined to an ancestor of z via a back-edge, so z is not an articulation point


## Algorithm

- 1: Let $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ be the vertices ordered by tree T
- 2: For $\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{1}$, compute $\operatorname{low}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ as follows
- Let low' $\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\min \{\mathrm{dfi}(\mathrm{z}) \mid \mathrm{z}$ is adjacent to x in G$\}$
- Let $\mathrm{c}_{1}, \mathrm{c}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}$ are the children of $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}, \operatorname{low}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\min \left(\operatorname{low}{ }^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right), \operatorname{low}\left(\mathrm{c}_{1}\right)\right.$, ...,low( $\left.\left.\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)\right\}$
- 3: For $w_{j}$, the parent of $x_{j}$, if $\operatorname{low}\left(x_{j}\right)=d f i\left(w_{j}\right)$, then $w_{j}$ is an articulation point of $G$ unless $w_{j}$ is the root and $w_{j}$ has only one child (which is $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}$ ).
- The subtree rooted at $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}$ with $\left\{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}$ is a biconnected component of G


## A Complete Example

- Ex 12.20: Find the articulation points of G
- Step 1: First create a DFS tree, numbers in parentheses represent the dfi

$G=(V, E)$

$T=\left(V, E^{\prime}\right)$


## A Complete Example (cont.)

- Step 2: Compute (low'(x), low(x)), from bottom to up


$$
G=(V, E)
$$



## A Complete Example (cont.)

- Step 3: Compare (dfi(x), low(x))

$G=(V, E)$



## A Complete Example (cont.)

- Last, we get the articulation points: g, f, d and four biconnected components



## Take-home Exercises

- Exercise 12.1: 1, 2, 6, 13, 18
- Exercise 12.2: 1, 3, 5, 9, 12, 17
- Exercise 12.3: 1, 2, 3
- Exercise 12.4: 1, 3, 5, 7
- Exercise 12.5: 1, 2, 10

