# SOLUTION

Ex 11.1: 2, 3, 5, 8, 13 Ex 11.2: 1, 2, 3, 9, 15 Ex 11.3: 3, 4, 5, 20, 23 Ex 11.4: 2, 3, 13, 14, 26 Ex 11.5: 1, 3, 4, 6, 19 Ex 11.6: 1, 2, 5, 6, 13

# Ex 11.1: (2)

- a)  $\{b, e\}, \{e, f\}, \{f, g\}, \{g, e\}, \{e, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}$
- b)  $\{b, e\}, \{e, f\}, \{f, g\}, \{g, e\}, \{e, d\}$
- c)  $\{b, e\}, \{e, d\}$
- d)  $\{b, e\}, \{e, f\}, \{f, g\}, \{g, e\}, \{e, b\}$
- e)  $\{b, e\}, \{e, f\}, \{f, g\}, \{g, e\}, \{e, d\}, \{d, c\}, \{c, b\}$
- f)  $\{b, a\}, \{a, c\}, \{c, b\}$

# Ex 11.1: (3)

• 6

# Ex 11.1: (5)

- Each path from *a* to *h* must include the edge {*b*, *g*}. There are three paths (in *G*) from *a* to *b* and three paths (in *G*) from *g* to *h*. Consequently, there are nine paths from *a* to *h* in *G*.
- There is only one path of length 3, two of length 4, three of length 5, two of length 6, and on of length 7.

# Ex 11.1: (8)

• The smallest number of guards needed is 3 – e.g., at vertices *a*, *g*, *i*.

# Ex 11.1: (13)

• This relation is reflexive, symmetric and transitive, so it is an equivalence relation. The partition of *V* induced by *R* yields the (connected) components of *G*.

# Ex 11.2: (1)

- Three: a)
  - $(1) \{b, a\}, \{a, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{d, a\}$  $(2) \{f, c\}, \{c, a\}, \{a, d\}, \{d, c\}$  $(3) \{i, d\}, \{d, c\}, \{c, a\}, \{a, d\}$
- b)  $G_1$  is the subgraph induced by  $U = \{a, b, d, f, g, h, i, j\}$ .  $G_1 = G - \{c\}$ .
- c)  $G_2$  is the subgraph induced by  $W = \{b, c, d, f, g, i, j\}$ .  $G_2 = G - \{a, h\}.$
- d) Fig.(1).e) Fig.(2).





# Ex 11.2: (2)

- a)  $G_1$  is not an induced subgraph of G if there exists an edge  $\{a, b\}$  in E such that  $a, b \in V$ , but  $\{a, b\} \notin E_1$ .
- b) Let  $e = \{a, d\}$ . Then G e is a subgraph of G but it is not an induced subgraph.

## Ex 11.2: (3)

- a) There are  $2^9 = 512$  spanning subgraphs.
- b) Four of the spanning subgraph in part (a) are connected.

c) 2<sup>6</sup>

# Ex 11.2: (9)

- a) Each graph has four vertices that are incident with three edges. In the second graph these vertices (w, x, y, z) form a cycle. This is not so for the corresponding vertices (a, b, g, h) in the first graph. Hence the graphs are not isomorphic.
- b) In the first graph the vertex d is incident with four edges, No vertex in the second graph has this property, so the graphs are not isomorphic.

# Ex 11.2: (15)

- a) Here *f* must also maintain directions. So if  $(a, b) \in E_1$ , then  $(f(a), f(b)) \in E_2$ .
- b) They are not isomorphic. Consider vertex *a* in the first graph.
   It is incident to one vertex and incident from two other vertices. No vertex in the other graph has this property.

#### Ex 11.3: (3)

Since 38 = 2|E| = ∑<sub>v∈V</sub> deg(v) ≥ 4|V|, the largest possible value for |V| is 9. We can have (i) seven vertices of degree 4 and two of degree 5; or (ii) eight vertices of degree 4 and one of degree 6. The graph in part (a) of the figure is an example for case (i); an example for case (ii) is provided in part (b) of the figure.



# Ex 11.3: (4)

- a) We must note here that *G* need not be connected. Up to isomorphism *G* is either a cycle on six vertices or (a disjoint union of) two cycles, each on three vertices.
- b) Here *G* is either a cycle on seven vertices or (a disjoint union of) two cycles one on three vertices and the other on four.
- c) For such a graph  $G_1$ ,  $\overline{G_1}$  is one of the graphs in part (a). Hence there are two such graphs  $G_1$ .
- d) Here  $\overline{G_1}$  is one of the graphs in part (b). There are two such graphs  $G_1$  (up to isomorphism).
- e) Let  $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$  be a loop-free undirected (n 3)-regular graph with |V| = n. Up to isomorphism the number of such graphs  $G_1$  is the number of partitions of n into summands that exceed 2.

# Ex 11.3: (5)

- a)  $|V_1| = 8 = |V_2|; |E_1| = 14 = |E_2|.$
- b) For  $V_1$  we find that deg(a) = 3, deg(b) = 4, deg(d) = 3, deg(e) = 3, deg(f) = 4, deg(g) = 4, and deg(h) = 3. For  $V_2$  we have deg(s) = 3, deg(t) = 4, deg(u) = 4, deg(v) = 3, deg(w) = 4, deg(x) = 3, deg(y) = 3, and deg(z) = 4. Hence each of the two graphs has four vertices of degree 3 and four of degree 4.
- c) Despite the results in parts (a) and (b) the graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are not isomorphic.

In the graph  $G_2$  the four vertices of degree 4 – namely, t, u, w, and z – are on a cycle of length 4. For the graph  $G_1$  the vertices b, c, f, and g – each of degree 4 – do not lie on a cycle of length 4.

A second way to observe that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are not isomorphic is to consider once again the vertices of degree 4 in each graph. In  $G_1$  these vertices induce a disconnected subgraph consisting of the two edges  $\{b, c\}$  and  $\{f, g\}$ . The four vertices of degree 4 in graph  $G_2$  induce a connected subgraph that has five edges – every possible edge except  $\{u, z\}$ .

#### Ex 11.3: (20)

- a)  $a \to b \to c \to g \to k \to j \to g \to b \to f \to j \to i \to f \to e \to i \to h \to d \to e \to b \to d \to a.$
- b)  $d \to a \to b \to d \to g \to i \to e \to f \to i \to j \to f \to b \to c \to g \to k \to j \to g \to b \to e.$

# Ex 11.3: (23)

• Yes. Model the situation with a graph where there is a vertex for each room and the surrounding corridor. Draw an edge between two vertices if there is a door common to both rooms, or a room and the surrounding corridor. The resulting multigraph is connected with every vertex of even degree.

# Ex 11.4: (2)

From the symmetry in these graphs the following demonstrate the situations we must consider
 *K*<sub>5</sub>: *K*<sub>3,3</sub>:



## Ex 11.4: (3)

a)	Graph	Number of vertices	Number of edges
	<i>K</i> <sub>4,7</sub>	11	28
	<i>K</i> <sub>7,11</sub>	18	77
	$K_{m,n}$	m + n	mn

b) m = 6

#### Ex 11.4: (13)





b) G is (isomorphic to) the Petersen graph. (See Fig. 11.52(a)).

# Ex 11.4: (14)

• Graph (1) shows that the first graph contains a subgraph homeomorphic to  $K_{3,3}$ , so it is not planar. The second graph is planar and isomorphic to the second graph of the exercise. The third graph provides a subgraph homeomorphic to  $K_{3,3}$  so the third graph given here is not planar. Graph (6) is not planar because it contains a subgraph homeomorphic to  $K_5$ .



# Ex 11.4: (26)

a) The correspondence  $a \rightarrow v, b \rightarrow w, c \rightarrow y, d \rightarrow z, e \rightarrow x$  provides an isomorphism.



c) In the first graph in part (b) vertex *c*' had degree 5. Since no vertex had degree 5 in the second graph, the two graphs cannot be isomorphic.



e)  $\{\{a', c'\}, \{c', b'\}, \{b', a'\}\}; \{\{p, r\}, \{r, t\}, \{r, t\}, \{r, s\}\}.$ 

Ex 11.5: (1)



#### Ex 11.5: (3.a~3.d)

- a) Hamilton cycle:  $a \to g \to k \to i \to h \to b \to c \to d \to j \to f \to e \to a$
- b) Hamilton cycle:  $a \to d \to b \to e \to g \to j \to i \to f \to h \to c \to a$
- c) Hamilton cycle:  $a \to h \to e \to f \to g \to i \to d \to c \to b \to a$
- d) The edges {a, c}, {c, d}, {d, b}, {b, e}, {e, f}, {f, g} provide a Hamilton path for the given graph. However, there is no Hamilton cycle, for such a cycle would have to include the edges {b, d}, {b, e}, {a, c}, {a, e}, {g, f}, and {g, e} and, consequently, the vertex *e* will have degree greater than 2.

#### Ex 11.5: (3.e, 3.f)

e)  $n \rightarrow o$  is one possible Hamilton path for this graph. Another possibility is the path  $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c \rightarrow d \rightarrow i \rightarrow h \rightarrow g \rightarrow f \rightarrow k \rightarrow l \rightarrow m \rightarrow n \rightarrow o \rightarrow$  $j \rightarrow e$ . However, there is no Hamilton cycle. For if we try to construct a Hamilton cycle we must include the edges  $\{a, b\}, \{a, f\}, \{f, k\}, \{k, l\}, \{d, e\}, \{e, j\}, \{j, o\} \text{ and } \{n, o\}.$  This then forces us to eliminate the edges  $\{f, g\}$  and  $\{i, j\}$  from further consideration. Now consider the vertex i,. If we use edges  $\{d, i\}$  and  $\{i, n\}$ , then we have a cycle on the vertices d, e, j, o, n and i - and we cannot get a Hamilton cycle for the given graph. Hence we must use only one of the edges  $\{d, i\}$  and  $\{i, n\}$ . Because of the symmetry in this graph let us select edge  $\{d, i\}$  – and then edge  $\{h, i\}$  so that vertex *i* will have degree 2 in the Hamilton cycle we are trying to construct. Since edges  $\{d, i\}$  and  $\{d, e\}$  are now being used, we eliminate edge  $\{c, d\}$  and this then forces us to include edges  $\{b, c\}$  and  $\{e, h\}$  in our construction. Also we must include the edge  $\{m, n\}$  since we eliminated edge  $\{i, n\}$  from consideration. Next we eliminate edge  $\{l, g\}$ . But now we have eliminated the four edges  $\{b, g\}, \{f, g\}, \{h, g\}$  and  $\{l, g\}$  and g is consequently isolated. For this graph we find the Hamilton cycle  $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c \rightarrow d \rightarrow e \rightarrow j \rightarrow c$ f)  $i \rightarrow h \rightarrow g \rightarrow l \rightarrow m \rightarrow n \rightarrow o \rightarrow t \rightarrow s \rightarrow r \rightarrow q \rightarrow p \rightarrow k \rightarrow f \rightarrow a.$ 

# Ex 11.5: (4)

Consider the graph as shown in Fig.11.52(a). We demonstrate one case. Start a) at vertex a and consider the partial path  $a \rightarrow f \rightarrow i \rightarrow d$ . These choices require the removal of edge  $\{f,h\}$  and  $\{g,i\}$  from further consideration since each vertex of the graph will be incident with exactly two edges in the Hamilton cycle. At vertex d we can go to either vertex c or vertex e. (i) If we go to vertex c we eliminate edge {e,d} from consideration, but we must now incude edges  $\{e,j\}$  and  $\{e,a\}$ , and this forces the elimination of edge  $\{a,b\}$ . Now we must consider vertex b, for by eliminating edge  $\{a,b\}$ . We are now required to include edges  $\{b,g\}$  and  $\{b,c\}$  in the cycle. This forces us to remove edge  $\{c,h\}$ from further consideration. But we have now removed edges  $\{f,h\}$  and  $\{c,h\}$ and there is only one other edge that is incident with h, so no Hamilton cycle can be obtained. (ii) Selecting vertex e after d, we remove edge  $\{d,c\}$  and include {c,h} and {b,c}. Having removed {g,i} we must include {g,b} and  $\{g,j\}$ . This forces the elimination of  $\{a,b\}$ , the inclusion of  $\{a,e\}$  (and the elimination of {e,j}). We now have a cycle containing a, f, i, d, e, hence this method has also failed.

However, this graph does have a Hamilton path:  $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c \rightarrow d \rightarrow e \rightarrow j \rightarrow h \rightarrow f \rightarrow i \rightarrow g$ .

b) For example, remove vertex j and the edges  $\{e,j\}, \{g,j\}, \{h,j\}$ . Then  $e \rightarrow a \rightarrow f \rightarrow h \rightarrow c \rightarrow b \rightarrow g \rightarrow i \rightarrow d \rightarrow e$  provides a Hamilton cycle for this subgraph.

### Ex 11.5: (6)

. . .

- Let the vertices on the cycle (rim) of  $W_n$  be consecutively denoted by  $v_1, v_2, ..., v_n$ , and let  $v_{n+1}$  denote the additional (central) vertex of  $W_n$ . Then the following cycles provide *n* Hamilton cycles for the wheel graph  $W_n$ .
  - $\begin{array}{ll} (1) & v_1 \rightarrow v_{n+1} \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow v_3 \rightarrow v_4 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_{n-1} \rightarrow v_n \rightarrow v_1; \\ (2) & v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow v_{n+1} \rightarrow v_3 \rightarrow v_4 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_{n-1} \rightarrow v_n \rightarrow v_1; \\ (3) & v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow v_3 \rightarrow v_{n+1} \rightarrow v_4 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_{n-1} \rightarrow v_n \rightarrow v_1; \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} (\mathrm{n-1}) & v_1 \to v_2 \to v_3 \to v_4 \to \cdots \to v_{n-1} \to v_{n+1} \to v_n \to v_1; \\ (\mathrm{n}) & v_1 \to v_2 \to v_3 \to v_4 \to \cdots \to v_{n-1} \to v_n \to v_{n+1} \to v_1; \end{array}$ 

## Ex 11.5: (19)

• This follows from Theorem 11.9, since for all (nonadjacnet)  $x, y \in V, \deg(x) + \deg(y) = 12 > 11 = |V|.$ 

# Ex 11.6: (1)

• Draw a vertex for each species of fish. If two species *x*, *y* must be kept in separate aquaria, draw the edge {*x*, *y*}. The smallest number of aquaria needed is then the chromatic number if the resulting graph.

# Ex 11.6: (2)

Draw a vertex for each committee. If someone serves on two committees c<sub>i</sub>, c<sub>j</sub> draw the edge joining the vertices for c<sub>i</sub> and c<sub>j</sub>. Then the least number of meeting times is the chromatic number of the graph.

#### Ex 11.6: (5)

- a)  $P(G,\lambda) = \lambda(\lambda-1)^3$ .
- b) For  $G = K_{1,n}$  we find that  $P(G, \lambda) = \lambda(\lambda 1)^n$ .  $\chi(K_{1,n}) = 2$ .

# Ex 11.6: (6)

a) (i) Here we have λ choices for vertex a, 1 choice for vertex b (the same choice as that for vertex a), and λ - 1 choices for each of vertices x, y, z. Consequently, there are λ(λ - 1)<sup>3</sup> proper colorings of K<sub>2,3</sub> where vertices a and b are colored the same.

(ii) Now we have  $\lambda$  choices for vertex a,  $\lambda - 1$  choices for vertex *b*, and  $\lambda - 2$  choices for each of the vertices *x*, *y*, and *z*. And here there are  $\lambda(\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2)^3$  proper colorings.

b) Since the two cases in part (a) are exhaustive and mutually exclusive, the chromatic polynomial for K<sub>2,3</sub> is λ(λ − 1)<sup>3</sup> + λ(λ − 1)(λ − 2)<sup>3</sup> = λ(λ − 1)(λ<sup>3</sup> − 5λ<sup>2</sup> + 10λ − 7). χ(K<sub>2,3</sub>) = 2.
c) P(K<sub>2,n</sub>, λ) = λ(λ − 1)<sup>n</sup> + λ(λ − 1)(λ − 2)<sup>n</sup>. χ(K<sub>2,n</sub>) = 2.

#### Ex 11.6: (13)

a) 
$$|V| = 2n; |E| = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{v \in V} \deg(v) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) [4(2) + (2n - 4)(3)] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) [8 + 6n - 12] = 3n - 2, n \ge 1.$$

b) For n = 1, we find that  $G = K_2$  and  $P(G, \lambda) = \lambda(\lambda - 1) =$  $\lambda(\lambda-1)(\lambda^2-3\lambda+3)^{1-1}$  so the result is true in this first case. For n = 2, we have  $G = C_4$ , the cycle of length 4, and here  $P(G, \lambda) =$  $\lambda(\lambda-1)^3 - \lambda(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2) = \lambda(\lambda-1)(\lambda^2-3\lambda+3)^{2-1}$ . So the result follows for n = 2. Assuming the result true for an arbitrary (but fixed)  $n \ge 1$ , consider the situation for n + 1. Write  $G = G_1 \cup G_2$ , where  $G_1$  is  $C_4$  and  $G_2$  is the ladder graph for n rungs. Then  $G_1 \cap G_2 = K_2$ , so from Theorem 11.14 we have  $P(G, \lambda) =$  $P(G_1, \lambda) \cdot \frac{P(G_2, \lambda)}{P(K_2, \lambda)} = \lambda(\lambda - 1)(\lambda^2 - 3\lambda + 3)^n$ . Consequently, the result is true for all  $n \ge 1$ , by the Principle of Mathematical Induction.